For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTR	ICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
DIGITAL REG OF TEXAS, LLC, Plaintiff, v.	No. C 12-1971 CW
ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC.,	
Defendant.	

We, the jury in the above-titled action, return the following verdict on the questions submitted to us:

INFRINGEMENT

Direct Infringement: Has Digital Reg proven it is more likely than not that Adobe has infringed any of the following claims? Enter "yes" or "no" in each box.

	Adobe Activation
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	

	Adobe LiveCycle
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 52 (dependent on claim 45)	

2. <u>Indirect Infringement</u>: Has Digital Reg proven it is more likely than not that Adobe indirectly infringed any of the following claims? Enter "yes" or "no" in each box.

	Adobe Activation
`541 patent, claim 1 (independent)	
`541 patent, claim 2 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 4 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 13 (dependent on claim 1)	
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	

	Adobe Flash
`541 patent, claim 1 (independent)	
`541 patent, claim 2 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 4 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 13 (dependent on claim 1)	

	Adobe LiveCycle
`541 patent, claim 1 (independent)	
`541 patent, claim 2 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 4 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 13 (dependent on claim 1)	
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 52 (dependent on claim 45)	

INVALIDITY

Anticipation: Has Adobe proven that it is highly probable that the following claims of Digital Reg's patents were anticipated, or, in other words, not new? Enter "yes" or "no" in each box.

	Anticipated?
`670 patent, claim 32 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 52 (dependent on claim 45)	

4. <u>Obviousness</u>: Has Adobe proven that it is highly probable that the following claims of Digital Reg's patents would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the field at the time the patent application was filed? Enter "yes" or "no" in each box.

	Obvious?
`541 patent, claim 1 (independent)	
`541 patent, claim 2 (dependent on claim 1)	
`541 patent, claim 4 (dependent on claim 1)	
'541 patent, claim 13 (dependent on claim 1)	
`670 patent, claim 32 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 45 (independent)	
`670 patent, claim 52 (dependent on claim 45)	

If you found that at least one product infringes at least one valid patent claim (i.e. you answered "yes" as to infringement of a claim by a product in either questions 1 or 2, and "no" as to each of the invalidity defenses regarding that claim in questions 3 and 4), proceed to answer questions 5 and 6. If not, do not answer the remaining questions and proceed to review and sign the verdict form.

DAMAGES (IF APPLICABLE)

- 5. <u>Reasonable Royalty</u>: For the claims that you found valid and infringed by Adobe's accused products, what royalty amount has Digital Reg proven that it should have received from Adobe?

 - b) Or a one-time payment of: \$_____

Case4:12-cv-01971-CW Document716 Filed09/04/14 Page5 of 5

WILLFULNESS (IF APPLICABLE)

6.	Willful	l Inf	Fringe	ment:	Has	Digit	al F	Reg p	roven	that	it	is
hig	hl <mark>y prob</mark> a	able	that	Adobe	act	ually	knew	v, or	the e	evider	ıce	was
so	obvious t	that	Adobe	shou	ld h	ave kr	nown,	, tha	at its	actio	ns	
con	stituted	infr	ringem	ent o	fa '	valid	and	enfo	rceabl	le pat	ent	?

Yes	No	

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your determinations. have the presiding juror sign, date and return this form.

Dated:

Presiding Juror